

STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOPs) FOR CLINICAL RESEARCH	Version 05/2012
TITLE: Protocol Feasibility Assessment	
Center for Clinical Research and Technology Office of Research Compliance and Education	1 of 2

1. PURPOSE:

This Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) describes the standards for fulfilling the scientific, regulatory, medical, and ethical requirements for assessing the feasibility of implementing a protocol at University Hospitals.

2. SCOPE:

This SOP will provide instruction and set minimum standards regarding the process for assessing protocol feasibility for all departments within University Hospitals involved in the conduct of research. This SOP is not intended to supersede existing systematic processes for assessing protocol feasibility by a department but is intended to set a minimum standard.

3. RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUALS:

This SOP applies to all Investigators desiring to conduct a research study at University Hospitals. In addition, the Department Review Committee and/or Department Chair or designee is also charged with ensuring that this review is complete and thorough. It is encouraged that other individuals who may be involved in the execution of the protocol are included in the feasibility assessment.

4. **DEFINITIONS**:

Please reference the Glossary for complete definitions of terms found in this SOP.

5. POLICY STATEMENT:

All research protocols must be reviewed for scientific merit and ethical standards consistent with local, state and federal requirements and must be consistent with UH IRB Policy, <u>Department Review of Protocols</u>

6. PROCEDURES:

For an investigator whose respective department does not already have an established process for systematically assessing protocol feasibility, the following procedures must be executed:



STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOPs) FOR CLINICAL RESEARCH	Version 05/2012
TITLE: Protocol Feasibility Assessment	
Center for Clinical Research and Technology Office of Research Compliance and Education	2 of 2

The Principal Investigator (PI) will review the protocol to determine whether: 1) the protocol meets scientific and ethical merit; 2) the protocol is financially feasible; and 3) adequate resources are available to conduct the study.

As the PI reviews the protocol, he/she should systematically consider and evaluate the protocol and document the evaluation. The systematic approach can be achieved by using any or all of the tools referenced in this SOP under Forms and Attachments (Examples: Protocol Feasibility Checklist, or Research Vetting Ticket) or other protocol feasibility assessment tools or processes. Regardless of the evaluation process (i.e. following established department procedures or investigator assessment as noted above) or tools used for the assessment, the investigator must maintain documentation of this review.

The PI will clarify any questions with the Sponsor (if applicable).

If the PI finds that the protocol is feasible, the protocol as well as the documented assessment of feasibility is to be forwarded to the Department Review Committee and/or Department Chair for review. (See UH IRB Policy, Department Review of Protocols).

7. REFERENCES

UH IRB Policy, Department Review of Protocols (rev 5.2007)

8. FORMS OR ATTACHMENTS

Protocol Feasibility Checklist Research Vetting Ticket

APPROVALS

Que Lidor -	5/22/12
Clinical Research Manager	Date
Plulif A. Cha	5/29/12
Vice President, Research and Technology	Date

Feasibility Reviewer: Sponsor: Study Title:	Date of Review: Funding Source:				
A. Sponsor/Clinical Research Organization		Yes	No	Unkn	N/A
Has the confidentiality agreement (CDA) been so Has previous experience with this Sponsor/CRO If no previous experience with this Sponsor/CRO checked with colleagues?	D been satisfactory?				
Has a draft of the contract been received? If the contract has been received, has it been fo Additional Comments:	rwarded for legal review?				
B. Population					
Do you have access to the right participant populare these targeted participants your patients? How many patients do you see with this diagnose enroll in one month?		Yes Num	No 	Unkn	N/A
Is there a plan in place for identifying potential p					
Will funding source be providing funding for reciles the proposed enrollment goal realistic?	ruitment & advertising?				
Do any current studies or studies under conside community compete for the same patient popular					
Are vulnerable populations involved (children, in consent issues, etc.)?					
Do you expect a significant number of adverse and Additional Comments:	events?				

C.	Protocol
C.	Protocol

D.

		Yes	No	Unkn	N/A
	Is the protocol well designed?				
	Is the protocol ethical?				
	Is this study desirable to do from a scientific standpoint?				
	Is there a benefit to the potential participants?				
	Is there a risk to the potential participants?	П	П	\Box	\Box
	Is the protocol in final form?	П	\Box	П	ī
	Is a draft consent form provided by the sponsor?	\Box	П	Ħ	ī
	Is the sponsor willing to consider suggestions or modifications if you do not	\Box	П	Ħ	\Box
	think the protocol is feasible as written?				_
	Are copies of the Case Report Forms/Data Forms available?				
	Are the Case Report Forms/Data Forms complex?				
	Is there a large number of Case Report Forms per subject?				
	Is the data required typically documented routinely in the medical record?				
	If not collected as standard of care, has the impact of this on resources				
	been considered?				_
	Is necessary equipment available?				
	If special equipment is needed, will the sponsor provide it?				
	Will coordination with other departments/services be required for study				
	visits or procedures?				
	Can other services (e.g., lab, radiology) meet the protocol requirements?				
	Is the study unusually long in duration?				
	Are participant compliance problems likely?				
	Will it be necessary to monitor subjects' compliance with time-consuming				
	phone calls or other forms of communication?				
	Are drug or device storage/accountability requirements complicated?				
	Additional Comments:				
F	Procedures				
		Yes	No	Unkn	N/A
	Do procedures conflict with current standard of care?		П		
	Is physician credentialed to perform required study procedures	\Box	\Box	\Box	\Box
	Are procedures frequent?	\Box	$\overline{\Box}$	П	\Box
	Are procedures difficult?	П	П	П	П
	Are procedures painful?	\Box	П	П	\exists
	Are procedures inconvenient?	\exists	\Box	\exists	\Box
	Are subject diaries used?	\exists	\Box	\Box	\vdash
	If subject diaries are used, does this require staff time for transcription or	\exists			\vdash
	interpretation?	Ш	ш		Ш
	Is the dosing schedule complex?				
	Are study visits complex, presenting possible scheduling difficulties?				
					-

Additional Comments:

E.	Staff	Voc	No	Links	NI/A
	Does the investigator possess the qualification to oversee this study? Does the investigator possess the time to oversee this study? Is there research staff in place to coordinate the study? Will this study impact the physician office/clinic? If yes, explain:	Yes	No	Unkn	N/A
	Will this study require after hours or on call staffing? If yes, explain:				
	Are additional specialists needed? If yes, please list:				
	If an inpatient study, will floor staff need to be involved? Will ancillary departments/specialties be impacted by this study (i.e. surgery, cath lab, radiology, lab, pharmacy, neurology)? If yes, consider				
	actual impact on staff operations of dept. and seek appropriate input. If needed, is training available? Additional Comments:				
F.	Financial Impact				
	Does funding source's preliminary budget appear adequate? If funding source agrees to pay for "evaluable" subjects, is the definition of evaluable subject clear and acceptable?	Yes	No	Unkn	N/A
	Will the funding source agree to pay for the coverage analysis? If the study is cancelled prior to enrollment, will the funding source pay for pre-study activities (IRB submission, meetings, chart reviews)?				
	Will the funding source pay for events that are difficult to budget for in advance, such as protocol amendments (consent form revisions), reconsenting subjects, unanticipated monitoring visits, audits, unexpectedly high number of SAE's?				
	Are there reimbursement and/or insurance issues to consider? If yes, explain:				

Will the sponsor pay for an adequate number of screen failures (especially important for difficult protocols)?				
Will the proposed payment schedule allow adequate upfront payment and				
payments paced according to work required by the protocol? Will sponsor pay for record retention? Will sponsor pay for informed consent translations? Additional Comments:				
G. General Is adequate clinic and office or research unit space available? Does the sponsor expect this study to be audited by the FDA? (FDA audits take time) Has a project timeline been established? If yes, what is the targeted start date:	Yes	No	Unkn	N/A
What will the sponsor's monitor frequency be? Are you able to meet these needs? (Frequent visits will consume staff time but may help to minimize the number of data queries). Will the monitor need to meet with the PI at every visit?				
Has there been a benefit identified for doing the study? If yes, specify all that apply: Access to new technology/treatment options to patients Potential for authorship Good research question Access to other studies by involvement with this study Exposure Interest in working with this study group/sponsor Unknown Other: Additional Comments:				
Recommendation:				
☐ Yes, move to the next step ☐ No, do not pursue at this time				

	R	esearch Vetting Tick	et			
Principal Invest	igator	Name o	of Trial			
Sponsor		Phase _				
Enrollment Goa	l Per Site	Total Er	nrollment For Trial			
Number of Sites	S	Global I	Enrollment State Date			
Estimated Enrol	Ilment Start Date	Estimate	ed Enrollment End Dat	e		
	1	2	3	Score	Weight	Total
I. Feasibility			Total:			
Competing Trials	3+ competing trials active or in the pipeline over the next 6 months	1-2 competing trials active or in the pipeline over the next 6 months	competing trials active or in the pipeline over the next 6 months		.11	
Ease of Enrollment (Pt Population Available and Timeframe)	Less than 6 months to enroll patients	6-12 month timeframe to enroll patients	Over 1 year to enroll patients		.11	
Expected Patient Total Enrollment	Expected to reach less than 50% of site total enrollment	Expected to reach 50%-75% of site total enrollment	Expected to reach greater than 75% of total site enrollment		.12	
II. Academic Merit			Total:			
Degree of Innovation/ Scientific Merit	Less innovative (e.g., Phase 3 & 4)	Potentially Innovative (e.g., Phase 2 & 3)	Highly Innovative (e.g., Phase 1 & 2)		.10	
Research Prestige	Global pharma trial with multiple sites	Limited site global pharma trial OR Investigator initiated	Investigator- initiated trial		.10	

De trial from another site, including NIH Little chance of Likely to be listed as Will be listed as first **Academic Impact** .12 publication/authorship an author or last author III. Funding Total: Department Funded Industry Funded/ Federally or Peer **Funding Source** .10 (No external support) Foundation Funded Reviewed Funded Unfunded to a **Projected Funding** projected significant Partially funded project Fully funded project .12 loss Resources Available No Partial Yes .12

TOTAL	1.0
Please note: If the average score of all reviewers is belowscore is or above than it is suggested to proceed in partici	then it is suggested to not participate in the clinical study. If the pating in this clinical study.
	Accept or Reject Study:
Reviewed By:	Review Date: